

AD-A049 035

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/6
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
JAN 78 M H WEEKS, B J DESENA

UNCLASSIFIED


USAEEHA-51-0862-78

NL

| OF |
AD
A049035



END
DATE
FILMED
3-78
DDC




AD No.
DDC FILE COPY

ADA049035

12
J

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36526
ANISYL ISOBUTYRATE
STUDY NO. 51-0862-78
MARCH 1976 - JULY 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



US ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

Mr. Weeks/cf/584-3980

HSE-LT-T/WP

19 JAN 1978

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent
AI3-36526, Anisyl Isobutyrate, Study No. 51-0862-78, March 1976 -
July 1977

Executive Secretary
Armed Forces Pest Control Board
Forest Glen Section, WRAMC
Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36526 was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound did not produce eye or skin irritation, or cause a photochemical irritation in rabbits, did not sensitize guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36526 be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brendan E. Joyce".

BRENDAN E. JOYCE, Ph.D.
LTC, MSC
Director, Laboratory Services

CF:

HQDA (DASG-HCH)
Cdr, HSC (HSPA-H)
Dir, Advisory Ctr on TOX, NRC
Supt, AHS (HSA-RHE)
USDA, ARS-Southern Region

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

(14) Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

USA

EHA-

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER 51-0862-78	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S DATA LOG NUMBER <i>Rept.</i>
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-36526, Anisyl Isobutyrate, Study No. 51-0862-78 March 1976 - July 1977		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Mar 76 - Jul 77
6. AUTHOR(s) MAURICE H. WEEKS BRENDA J. DeSENA		7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 51-0862-78
8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) <i>1113 Jan 78</i>		9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010
10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <i>(12)-10P</i>		11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234
12. REPORT DATE Mar 76 - Jul 77		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		D D C RECORDED JAN 23 1978 F
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Anisyl isobutyrate eye irritation AI3-36526 sensitization Topical Hazard Evaluation oral toxicity candidate repellent skin irritation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36526 was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound did not produce eye or skin irritation, or cause a photochemical irritation in rabbits, did not sensitize guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36526 be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

038-150
JF



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT-T/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36526
ANISYL ISOBUTYRATE
STUDY NO. 51-0862-78
MARCH 1976 - JULY 1977

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 11 March 1976.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the US Army Health Services Command; the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board, and the US Department of Agriculture, effective 1970 with Amendment No. 1 effective August 1974.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, USAEHA, 1972, revised 1976.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36526, anisyl isobutyrate.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent AI3-36526, anisyl isobutyrate, was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:^{*†}

* In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1972 - second printing 1974.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities, fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Study No. 51-0862-78, Mar 76 - Jul 77

TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36526 produced no primary irritation of the intact skin or to the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix).
0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.		
<u>EYE IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits.</u>		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound AI3-36526 did not produce any injury to the cornea, and, in addition, no injury to the conjunctiva in 6 out of 6 rabbits.	USAEHA Category A (ref Appendix).
<u>APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)</u>		
<u>Oral</u>		
Rats (male) - no diluent	ALD > 4300 mg/kg	Presents little lethal hazard from accidental ingestion.

ACCESSION #	File Section
NTIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNANALYZED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTICE	<input type="checkbox"/>
FBI	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL
	

Study No. 51-0862-78, Mar 76 - Jul 77

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound (AI3-36526) and a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at distance of 10-15 cm.	A 25 percent solution of AI3-36526 in ethanol did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions. Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.	Compound AI3-36526 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation in humans.
<u>Control</u>		
Following UV exposures of the rabbits 0.05 ml of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.		

Study No. 51-0862-78, Mar 76 - Jul 77

Test	Results	Interpretation
SENSITIZATION STUDIES		
<u>Guinea Pigs (Male)</u>		
Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of AI3-36526 or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.		
Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of AI3-36526.	Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) did not produce a sensitization reaction.	Compound AI3-36526 did not produce a sensitization reaction under these test conditions and is not expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.
Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of DNCB.	Positive controls (DNCB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.	

* A known skin sensitizer.

Study No. 51-0862-78, Mar 76 - Jul 77

5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade compound AI3-36526 presents no acute hazard from eye, skin, photochemical or sensitization contact or from acute ingestion.

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provision of the Memorandum of Understanding (para 1b), it is recommended that AI3-36526, anisyl isobutyrate, be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

Maurice H. Weeks
MAURICE H. WEEKS
Chief, Toxicity Evaluation Branch
Toxicology Division

Brenda J. De Sena
BRENDA J. DESENA
SP4
Veterinary Specialist
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

Arthur H. McCreesh
ARTHUR H. MCCREESH, Ph.D.
Chief, Toxicology Division

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals. prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

Study No. 51-0862-78, Mar 76 - Jul 77

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION:
Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition
producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used
with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the
conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is
recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.